



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

# STUDENT FEEDBACK

## 2017-18

Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences  
(July 2018)

Analysis of Feedback Forms on Course

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-07	Poor
08-14	Good
15-21	Very Good
22-28	Excellent


<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>Name of the Department</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Remark</i>
1	Anatomy	21.756	5.909	Excellent
2	Physiology	21.798	5.675	Excellent
3	Biochemistry	20.714	6.079	Very Good
4	Pharmacology	26.83	3.2139	Excellent
5	Pathology	24.75	4.9291	Excellent
6	Microbiology	24.42	5.6545	Excellent
7	FMT	23.08	8.5415	Excellent
8	Ophthalmology	19.344	6.514	Very Good
9	ENT	14.739	7.093	Very Good
10	PSM	19.870	6.192	Very Good
11	Medicine	18.897	7.664	Very Good
12	Surgery	15.931	7.395	Very Good
13	Obst. & Gynaec.	22.522	5.448	Excellent
14	Pediatrics	18.828	7.026	Very Good



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Analysis of Feedback Forms on Library

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-20	Poor
21-40	Good
41-60	Very Good
61-80	Excellent

<i>Class of Students</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Remark</i>
II/I	51.81	19.67	Very Good
III/I	53.57	18.63	Very Good
Interns	46.35	20.30	Very Good





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Analysis of Feedback Forms on Infrastructure

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-46	Poor
47-92	Good
93-138	Very Good
139-184	Excellent

<i>Class of Students</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Remark</i>
II/I	113.33	49.29	Very Good
III/I	154.32	36.74	Excellent
III/III	106.89	51.92	Very Good
Interns	115.54	45.30	Very Good





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Analysis of Feedback Forms on Teaching Staff

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-08	Poor
09-16	Good
17-24	Very Good
25-32	Excellent


Sr. No.	Name of the Department	No. of Teaching Staff	Frequency			
			Poor	Good	Very Good	Excellent
1	Anatomy	7	0	0	4	3
2	Physiology	7	0	0	5	2
3	Biochemistry	8	0	0	5	3
4	Pathology	11	0	0	8	3
5	Microbiology	6	0	0	1	5
6	Pharmacology	9	0	0	2	7
7	FMT	4	0	0	3	1
8	Ophthalmology	6	0	0	5	1
9	ENT	5	0	0	5	0
10	PSM	9	0	0	8	1
11	Surgery	22	0	0	16	6
12	Obstetrics & Gynaecology	16	0	0	10	6
13	Paediatrics	13	0	0	10	3
<b>Total Teaching Staff</b>		<b>123</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>41</b>



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

### STUDENT FEEDBACK 2017-18

**Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences**

(December 2018)

Analysis of Feedback Forms on Course

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-07	Poor
08-14	Good
15-21	Very Good
22-28	Excellent


<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>Name of the Department</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Remark</i>
1	Pharmacology	23.55	5.4969	Excellent
2	Pathology	20.31	6.5057	Very Good
3	Microbiology	20.7	5.9324	Very Good
4	FMT	23.55	5.1821	Excellent
5	Ophthalmology	24.711	4.875	Excellent
6	ENT	15.596	7.371	Very Good
7	PSM	22.139	6.040	Excellent
8	Medicine	19.190	6.245	Very Good
9	Surgery	16.286	6.829	Very Good
10	Obstetrics & Gynaecology	21.762	6.004	Excellent
11	Pediatrics	19.714	6.037	Very Good
12	Interns	38.714	14.761	Very Good



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT







# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Analysis of Feedback Forms on Library

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-20	Poor
21-40	Good
41-60	Very Good
61-80	Excellent

<i>Class of Students</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Remark</i>
II/I	50.67	10.97	Very Good
III/I	53.57	18.63	Very Good
III/III	56.13	19.00	Very Good
Interns	53.68	21.11	Very Good





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

Analysis of Feedback Forms on Infrastructure

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-46	Poor
47-92	Good
93-138	Very Good
139-184	Excellent

<i>Class of Students</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Remark</i>
II/I	112.33	37.86	Very Good
III/I	154.32	36.74	Excellent
III/III	124.96	46.32	Very Good
Interns	109.81	43.75	Very Good





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Analysis of Feedback Forms on Teaching Staff

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-08	Poor
09-16	Good
17-24	Very Good
25-32	Excellent


Sr. No.	Name of the Department	No. of Teaching Staff	Frequency			
			Poor	Good	Very Good	Excellent
1	Anatomy	7	0	0	0	7
2	Physiology	7	0	0	0	7
3	Biochemistry	8	0	0	0	8
4	Pathology	12	0	0	11	1
5	Microbiology	6	0	0	2	4
6	Pharmacology	9	0	0	5	4
7	FMT	3	0	0	0	3
8	Ophthalmology	5	0	0	4	1
9	ENT	5	0	0	5	0
10	PSM	9	0	0	7	2
11	Medicine	17	0	0	16	1
12	Surgery	23	0	0	20	3
13	Obstetrics & Gynaecology	17	0	0	16	1
14	Paediatrics	12	0	0	9	3
<b>Total Teaching Staff</b>		<b>140</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>45</b>



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT







# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

### STUDENT FEEDBACK 2017-18

#### School of Dental Sciences







# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT







# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT







# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT









# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT








# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT







# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT







# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT







# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

### STUDENT FEEDBACK 2017-18

#### Krishna Institute of Nursing Sciences

#### STUDENTS' OVERALL EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

Student's feedback taken on various parameters such as Curriculum, Syllabus, Library materials, effectiveness of teaching, encouragement of teacher, teacher preparation on lecture, teachers communication, exams ,internal assessment, assignments etc The feedback was collected on various responses given by students. The overall feedback of all course students related to above mentioned parameters was analysed and the results were as follows.

65( 65%) 1<sup>st</sup> year BSc Nursing students says The syllabus was Challenging, 47(47%) 2<sup>nd</sup> year BSc Nursing student says The syllabus was Challenging. 42(43%) 3<sup>rd</sup> year BSc Nursing Students says The syllabus was Challenging. 45(51.14%) 4<sup>th</sup> year BSc Nursing students says The syllabus was Challenging. 8(88.89%) 1<sup>st</sup> year PB BSc Nursing students says The syllabus was Challenging

79(79%) 1<sup>st</sup> year BSc Nursing students replied 85- 100 % for How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? 39(39%) 2<sup>nd</sup> year BSc Nursing student replied 70-85% for How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? 49(50%) 3<sup>rd</sup> year BSc Nursing Students replied 85- 100 % for How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? 45(51.14%) 4<sup>th</sup> year BSc Nursing students says 85- 100 % for How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? 3 (60%) 1<sup>st</sup> year MSc Nursing students replies 70-85% for How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

Regarding Library materials 5(55.56%) 1<sup>st</sup> year BSc Nursing students replied Excellent for In your opinion, how are the library materials for the course? 37(37%) 2<sup>nd</sup> year BSc Nursing student , 42(43%) 3<sup>rd</sup> year BSc N Students 5(55.56%) 1<sup>st</sup> year PB BSc Nursing students, 5(62.5%) 2<sup>nd</sup> year PB BSc Nursing students, and 3 (60%) 1<sup>st</sup> year MSc Nursing replied Adequate for In your opinion, how are the library materials for the course?

44(44%) 1<sup>st</sup> year BSc Nursing students and 40(40%) 2<sup>nd</sup> year BSc Nursing students ,49(55.68%) 3<sup>rd</sup> year BSc Nursing Students, 7(77.78%) 1<sup>st</sup> year PB BSc Nursing students, 3 (60%) 1<sup>st</sup> year MSc Nursing also replies Good for How well were the teachers prepared for the class? 45(46%) 3<sup>rd</sup> year BSc Nursing Students replies Good and Satisfactorily for How well were the teachers prepared for the class? 4(50%) 2<sup>nd</sup> year PB BSc Nursing students replies Satisfactorily for How well were the teachers prepared for the class?

59(59%) 1<sup>st</sup> year BSc Nursing students and 50(56.82%) 4<sup>th</sup> year BSc Nursing students, also 6 (66.67%) 1<sup>st</sup> year PB BSc Nursing students, and 3 (60%) 1<sup>st</sup> year MSc Nursing also replies Effective for how well were teachers at communication? 45(45%) 2<sup>nd</sup> year BSc Nursing and 44(45%) 3<sup>rd</sup> year BSc Nursing Students, also 6(75%) 2<sup>nd</sup> year PB BSc Nursing students replies Satisfactory for How well were teachers at communication?



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## **STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT**

64(64%) 1st year BSc Nursing students, 33(34%) 3<sup>rd</sup> year BSc Nursing Students, 5(62.5%) 1st year PB BSc Nursing students replies completely for did the internal assessment help your progress? 39(39%) 2nd year BSc Nursing, 56(63.64%) 4<sup>th</sup> year BSc Nursing students replies regularly for did the internal assessment help your progress? 4 (44.44%)& 2(40%) MSc Nursing students replies completely & regularly for Did the internal assessment help your progress?



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

### STUDENT FEEDBACK 2017-18

#### Krishna Institute of Nursing Sciences

#### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON INFRASTRUCTURE, TEACHING, LEARNING

Student's feedback taken on various parameters such as adequate campus, classroom, cleanliness, toilet facilities safe drinking water etc. The feedback was collected on various responses given by students. The overall feedback of all course students related to above mentioned parameters was analysed and the results were as follows.

50(50%) 1st year BSc Nursing students replies strongly agree for The classrooms are clean and well maintained while 51(51%) 2nd year BSc Nursing. 29(29.6%) 3<sup>rd</sup> year BSc Nursing Students, 4 (44.4%) 1<sup>st</sup> year PB BSc Nursing students, 6(75%) 2<sup>nd</sup> year PB BSc Nursing students, 35(40.2%) 4<sup>th</sup> year BSc Nursing 5(71.42%) MSc Nursing student's replied agree for The classrooms are clean and well maintained.

55(55%) 1st year BSc Nursing students, 43(43%) 2nd year BSc Nursing, 5 (55.6%) 1<sup>st</sup> year PB BSc Nursing students 5 (62.5%) 2nd year PB BSc Nursing students and 5(71.42%) MSc Nursing students were strongly agreed for the campus is green and eco-friendly. 25(25.5%) 3<sup>rd</sup> Year B.B.Sc. Students were agree for the campus is green and eco-friendly. while 30(36.1%) were agree and strongly agree for the campus is green and eco-friendly.

41(41%) 1st year BSc Nursing students replies strongly agree for clean drinking water is available in the department and on the campus. While 36(36%) 2nd year BSc Nursing 44(53%) 3<sup>rd</sup> Year B.B.Sc 40(48.2%) 4<sup>th</sup> year BSc Nursing replies agree for Clean drinking water is available in the department and on the campus. 4 (44.4%) ,4(50%) 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> year PB BSc Nursing are neither agree nor disagree for Clean drinking water is available in the department and on the campus. 5(71.42%) are strongly agree for Clean drinking water is available in the department and on the campus.





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

### STUDENT FEEDBACK 2017-18

#### Krishna College of Physiotherapy

KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY


ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FORMS ON COURSE

1<sup>st</sup> BPTb

(2017 - 2018)

SCORE	GRADE
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very Good
29 & Above	Excellent

Sr.No	Name of the Department	Mean	SD	Remark
1.	ANATOMY	29.4	2.33	Excellent
2.	PHYSIOLOGY	27.9	2.77	Very Good
3.	BIOCHEMISTRY	26.8	2.27	Very Good
4.	FUND.EXE THERAPY	28.5	2.57	Excellent
5.	FUND. ELE THERAPY	28.07	2.18	Excellent



*Dr. G. Varadachari*  
Dr. G. VARADACHARI  
FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY  
KARAD



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

### ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FORMS ON COURSE

II<sup>nd</sup> BPTb

(2017 - 2018)

SCORE	GRADE
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very Good
29 & Above	Excellent

Sr.No	Name of the Department	Mean	SD	Remark
1.	PATHO - MICRO	27.77	1.39	Very Good
2.	PHARMACOLOGY	28.0	1.63	Very Good
3.	PSYCHOLOGY	28.2	1.63	Very Good
4.	KINESIOTHERAPY	27.98	1.96	Very Good
5.	ELECTRICAL AGENT	27.98	1.96	Very Good



*Dr. G. Varadachari*  
Dr. G. VARADACHARI, BPT, BScPT, MPT, PhD  
FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY,  
KIMS, KARAD



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


### ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FORMS ON COURSE

III<sup>rd</sup> BPTb

(2017 - 2018)

SCORE	GRADE
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very Good
29 & Above	Excellent

Sr.No	Name of the Department	Mean	SD	Remark
1.	ORTHO SURGERY	25.1	3.09	Very Good
2.	MEDICINE	25.8	2.53	Very Good
3.	COMMUNITY HEALTH	25.1	3.21	Very Good
4.	OBGY	25.3	2.70	Very Good
5.	PSYCHIATRY	25.8	2.82	Very Good
6.	PDMS	25.8	2.43	Very Good



*G. Varadharajulu*  
Dr. G. VARADHARAJULU  
DEAN  
FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY,  
KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES,  
KARAD



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


### ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FORMS ON COURSE

IV<sup>th</sup> BPT<sup>h</sup>

(2017 - 2018)

SCORE	GRADE
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very Good
29 & Above	Excellent

Sr. No	Name of the Department	Mean	SD	Remark
1.	PT IN MUSCULO	27.4	1.30	Very Good
2.	PT IN NEURO	27.86	1.97	Very Good
3.	PT IN MEDICAL SURGICAL	27.77	1.0	Very Good
4.	PT IN COMMUNITY	28.0	1.61	Very Good



*Dr. G. Varadnagar*  
Dr. G. VARADNAGAR, Ph.D., MPT, MSc  
FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY,  
KIMSOU, KARAD



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


### ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FORMS ON COURSE

I<sup>st</sup> MPTb

(2017 - 2018)

SCORE	GRADE
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very Good
29 & Above	Excellent

Sr.No	Name of the Department	Mean	SD	Remark
1.	PT PRAC I	28.7	1.24	Very Good
2.	PT PRAC II	28.5	1.49	Very Good
3.	ADV PT I	28.9	1.85	Very Good
4.	ADV PT II	27.7	1.37	Very Good
5.	RESEARCH & BIostat	28.2	1.75	Very Good



*Prasanna*  
Dr. G. VARACHANDRA MULLU - HPTIBAP  
FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY,  
KIMSOU, KARAD



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


### ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FORMS ON COURSE

II<sup>nd</sup> MPTb

(2017 - 2018)

SCORE	GRADE
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very Good
29 & Above	Excellent

Sr.No	Name of the Department	Mean	SD	Remark
1.	GENERAL	27.3	0	Very Good
2.	SPECIALITY	29.7	0.7	Excellent.



  
Dr. G. VARADHARAJULU  
DEAN  
FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY,  
KIMSOU, KARAD



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)


## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

### STUDENT FEEDBACK 2017-18

#### Krishna Institute of Pharmacy


1. How do you rate the course curriculum in relation to the achievement of desired competencies?

44 responses



2. How do you rate the quality, content, relevance and execution of the syllabus?

44 responses






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT


3. How do you rate the curriculum design for inclusion of community services?

44 responses



4. How do you rate the institutional policy of curricular revision/ change according to the local and global need of the society?

44 responses








# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


5. How do you rate the innovative teaching learning methods (interactive lectures, self-directive learning, problem based learning, narrative-reflective learning, integrated / modular/small group teaching)?

44 responses



6. How do you rate the institute for conducting, guest lectures, seminars, workshops, conferences, quiz competitions etc. for better knowledge and skill acquisition?

44 responses






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


7. How do you rate the mentorship program in your Institute?

44 responses



8. How are the facilities provided by the institute for acquiring soft skills, professionalism, bioethics and communication skills required for profession?

44 responses






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


9. How do you rate the innovative (transparent/valid/structured/reliable/feasible /relevant)assessment methods used in the institute?

44 responses



10. How is the research guidance provided by the institute?

44 responses






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT


11. How do you rate Anti-Ragging measures & grievance redressal mechanism?

44 responses



12. How do you rate clinical / laboratory facilities available in the institution?

44 responses






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


13. How is the information communication technology (ICT), e-learning facilities provided by the institute?

44 responses



14. How do you rate the institute for the availability and adequacy of classrooms, demonstration rooms and practical halls for better learning outcome of the course?

44 responses






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT


15. How do you rate the library facilities provided by the institute?

44 responses



16. How do you rate the hostel facilities in the institute?

44 responses






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


17. How is the patient care provided by the hospital for the patient?

44 responses



18. How do you rate the institute's efforts in context to career guidance?

44 responses






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


19. How do you rate the institute's efforts in context to placement?

44 responses



20. How are co-curricular and extra-curricular activities (sport / gymnasium) facilities provided by the institute?

44 responses







# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)


## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

### STUDENT FEEDBACK 2017-18


Krishna Institute of Allied Sciences

Sample size: 52

1. How do you rate the course curriculum in relation to the achievement of desired competencies?



2. How do you rate the quality, content, relevance and execution of the syllabus?






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

3. How do you rate the curriculum design for inclusion of community services?



4. How do you rate the institutional policy of curricular revision/ change according to the local and global need of the society?






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

5. How do you rate the implementation of innovative teaching learning methods (interactive lectures, self-directive learning, problem based learning, narrative-reflective learning, integrated / modular/small group teaching)?



6. How do you rate the institute for conducting guest lectures, seminars, workshops, conferences, quiz competitions etc. for better knowledge and skill acquisition?






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


7. How do you rate the mentorship program in your Institute?



8. How are the facilities required to acquire soft skills, professionalism, bioethics and communication skills provided by the institute?



9. How do you rate the innovative (transparent/valid/structured/reliable/feasible/relevant) assessment methods used in the institute?






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT


10) How is the research guidance provided by the institute?



11) How do you rate Anti-Ragging measures & grievanceredressal mechanism?



12) How do you rate clinical / laboratory facilities available in the institution?






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

13) How is the information communication technology (ICT), e-learning facilities provided by the institute?



14) How do you rate the institute for the availability and adequacy of classrooms, demonstration rooms and practical halls for better learning outcome of the course?






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


15) How do you rate the library facilities provided by the institute?



16) How do you rate the hostel facilities in the institute?



17) How is the quality of patient care, in your opinion, provided by the hospital?







# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT








# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

20) How are co-curricular and extra-curricular activities (sport / gymnasium) facilities provided by the institute?





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## **STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT STUDENT FEEDBACK 2017-18**

### **Any other suggestions:**

1. CCTV cameras should be fitted in college
2. Please arrange a friendly & accessible approach regarding academic issues
3. PG students should have better knowledge to teach students



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

# TEACHER FEEDBACK

## 2017-18

### Krishna Institute of Nursing Sciences

(1strongly disagree, 2disagree, 3neither neither agree nor disagree, 4agree, 5strongly agree)

Sr no	1	2	3	4	5	PARAMETERS
1.				20 (64.51%)	11	20(64.51%) reported agree for Syllabus is suitable to the course.
2.				23(58.06)	7	23 (58.06%) reported agree for Syllabus is need based
3.				18(58.06%)	5	18(58.06%) reported agree for Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.
4.		1		22 (58.06%)	8	22 (58.06%) reported agree for Course content is followed by corresponding reference materials.
5.			2	13	16(58.06%)	16(58.06%) reported strongly agree for Sufficient number of prescribed books are available in the Library
6.				18(58.06%)	13	18(58.06%) reported agree for The course / syllabus has good balance between theory and application
7.				20 (64.51%)	11	20 (64.51%) reported agree for The course/syllabus has made me interested in the subject area
8.				23(74.19%)	8	23(74.19%) reported agree for The course/syllabus of this subject increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

9.			3	18(58.06%)	9	18(58.06%) reported agree for The course/programme of studies carries sufficient Number of optional papers.
10			4	17(54.83%)	10	17(54.83%) reported agree for The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate.
11			1	15(48.38%)	15	15(48.38%) reported agree for Infrastructural facilities, such as teacher's rooms/carrels, class rooms, reading rooms and toilets are available in the Department
12	1	2	5	16 (51.61%)	7	16 (51.61%) reported agree for Staff canteen is available at the faculty level.
13			4	17(54.83%)	10	17(54.83%) reported agree for I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus
14				16 (51.61%)	15	16 (51.61%) reported agree for I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/strategies of teaching such as seminar presentations, group discussions and learners' participations
15			2	11	18(58.06%)	18(58.06%) reported strongly agree for I have the freedom to adopt/adapt new techniques/strategies of testing and assessment of students.
16	1			15 (48.38%)	15	15 (48.38%) reported agree for The environment in the department is conducive to teaching and research.
17	1		2	16 (51.61%)	12	16 (51.61%) reported agree for The administration is teacher friendly.
18				17(54.83%)	13	17(54.83%) reported agree for The University provides adequate and smooth support for projects and research facilities.
19				13	18(58.06%)	18(58.06%) reported strongly agree for The University provides adequate funding and support to faculty members for upgrading their skills and qualifications
20			1	17(54.83%)	12	17(54.83%) reported agree for Provisions for professional development are non-discriminatory and fair.



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

TEACHER FEEDBACK 2017-18

Krishna College of Physiotherapy


### Analysis of Feedback forms on Curriculum by staff

I BPTb

(2017-2018)

SCORE	GRADE
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

Sr. NO.	NAME OF DEPARTMENT	MEAN	SD	REMARK
1	Fundamentals of exercise therapy	31.6	0.71	Excellent
2	Fundamentals of electro therapy	31.2	1.41	excellent



*[Signature]*  
Dr. G. VIKADHARAJUKU MPTMEd  
2018  
FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY,  
KIMSOU, KARAD



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


### Analysis of Feedback forms on Carriculum by Staff

#### II BPTth

(2017-2018)

SCORE	GRADE
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

SR. NO.	NAME OF DEPARTMENT	MEAN	SD	REMARK
1	Psychology	32.5	2.12	Excellent
2	Kinesiotherapeutics	30.6	1.41	Excellent
3	Electrical agents	29.8	0.70	Excellent



*Dr. G. Varadhara*  
Dr. G. VARADHARA  
FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY,  
KIMSIDU, KARAD



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


### Analysis of Feedback forms on Carriculum by Staff

#### III BPTth

(2017-2018)

SCORE	GRADE
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

SR. NO.	NAME OF DEPARTMENT	MEAN	SD	REMARK
1	Community health	29.3	0	Excellent
2	PDMS	27.7	1.52	Very good



*Dr. G. Varadharajulu*  
Dr. G. VARADHARAJULU MPTMAS  
27/09  
FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY,  
KIMSOU, KARAD



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


### Analysis of Feedback forms on Carriculum by Staff

#### IV BPTth

(2017-2018)

SCORE	GRADE
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

SR. NO.	NAME OF DEPARTMENT	MEAN	SD	REMARK
1	PT in musculoskeletal	30.8	1.52	Excellent
2	PT in neurosciences	28.9	2.08	Excellent
3	PT in medical and surgical	29.5	0.70	Excellent
4	PT in community health	27.3	4.24	Very good



  
**Dr. G. VARADHAPA** JULU (MPT/THA)  
 DEAN  
 FAC - PT in PHYSIOTHERAPY,  
 KRISHNA, KARAD





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


### Analysis of Feedback forms on Carriculum by Staff

#### I MPT h

(2017-2018)

SCORE	GRADE
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

SR. NO.	NAME OF DEPARTMENT	MEAN	SD	REMARK
1	PT prac I	30.2	1.31	Excellent
2	PT prac II	30.2	1.31	Excellent
3	Adv PT I	30.2	1.31	Excellent
4	Adv PT II	30.2	1.31	Excellent
5	Research Biostatistics	30.2	1.31	Excellent



*G. VaradharaJulu*  
Dr. G. VARADHARAJULU MPT/MPH  
DEAN  
DEPT OF PHYSIOTHERAPY,  
KIMSS - KARAD



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


### Analysis of Feedback forms on Carriculum by Staff

#### II MPTh

(2017-2018)

SCORE	GRADE
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

SR. NO.	NAME OF DEPARTMENT	MEAN	SD	REMARK
1	General PT	29.9	0.67	Excellent
2	Speciality	30.2	0.87	excellent



*Dr. G. Varadharajulu*  
Dr. G. VARADHARAJULU MPTMSc  
OF PT  
FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY,  
KIMSOU, KARAD



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## **STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT TEACHER FEEDBACK 2017-18**

### **Any other suggestions:**

1. Study span of thesis work can be increased



**KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES  
“DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD**

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

**STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT**

**ALUMNI FEEDBACK**

**2017-18**

**Krishna Institute of Nursing Sciences**

Sr No	1	2	3	4	5	Remark
1	1	1	22	47	11	47 (62.5%) says Class Room was very good
2			15	54	13	54 (87.5%) says Teaching Resources were very good
3		1	21	51	9	51(62.5%) says Safe drinking water provision was very good
4			33	40	9	40(50%) says provision of Common Room was very good
5			34	35	13	35(50%) provision of Toilet Facilities are very good
6			8	41	33	41(62.5%) says College campus is very good
7			22	42	18	3(37.5%) says Availability of library books/ Magazines was good & very good
8		2	27	35	18	35 (50%) says availability of sitting place in library was average
9			18	47	17	47(50%) says Academic facilities were very good
10		1	16	49	16	49 (62.5%) says Curriculum (pertaining to knowledge's Skill and application) was very good
11			14	54	14	54(62.5%) says Exposure to workshop/conference Educational visits/Guest lectures and newer Method of teaching/learning was very good
12		2	16	46	19	46(62.5%) says Placement of appropriate clinical Areas was very good
13		1	17	52	12	52(62.5%) says Research guidance was good
14		3	23	45	11	45(62.5%) says Examination schedules was very good
15			19	51	12	51(62.5%) says Inclusion of Co-curricular activities was good



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

16		14	54	14	3(37.5%) says Helpfulness of administrative s good
17		17	42	23	42(50%) says Teaching ability of Faculty was g
18	1	17	48	16	48(50%) says Health surveillance facilities we
19	2	19	43	18	43(37.5%) says discipline was good and very
20		8	49	25	49 (62.5%) says Overall experience as a studen good



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)


## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

### ALUMNI FEEDBACK 2017-18

#### Krishna College of Physiotherapy – BPTth

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 – 14	Fair
15 – 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>YEAR</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
1	I BPTth	30	0	Excellent
2	II BPTth	29.3	2	Excellent
3	III BPTth	30.7	0.57	Excellent
4	IV BPTth	31.5	0.57	Excellent





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)


## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

### ALUMNI FEEDBACK 2017-18

#### Krishna College of Physiotherapy – MPTh

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 – 14	Fair
15 – 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>YEAR</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
1	I MPTh	30.3333	0.57735	Excellent
2	II MPTh	31.3333	0.57735	Excellent





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)


## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

### ALUMNI FEEDBACK 2017-18


Krishna Institute of Allied Sciences

Sample Size: 40

1) How do you rate the institutional policy of curricular revision/ change according to the local and global need of the society?



2) How do you rate the academic flexibility embedded in the curriculum which provides opportunities to students to pursue their interest by choosing from the electives?








# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

3) How do you rate the outcome (goal) oriented curricular design of the course (ie. becoming competent and independent professionals)?



4) How do you rate the ambience of the college for effective delivery of the academic process through innovative teaching learning methods?






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

5) How do you rate the institute for conducting guest lectures, seminars, workshops, conferences, quiz competitions etc. for better knowledge and skill acquisition (deep learning)?



6) How do you rate the institute’s efforts in context to career guidance?






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

7) How do you rate the institute's efforts in context to placement?



8) How are the facilities required to acquire soft skills, professionalism, bioethics and communication skills provided by the institute?






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

9) How do you rate the institute for the availability and adequacy of classrooms, demonstration rooms, practical halls and clinical (patients) material for better learning outcome of the course?



10) How do you rate the library facility available in the institute?





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## **STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT**

### **ALUMNI FEEDBACK 2017-18**

#### **Any other suggestions:**

1. Easy access to e-learning or information should be given to the alumni
2. More emphasis should be given on clinical practice for second year BPT



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

# PEER FEEDBACK

## 2017-18

### Krishna Institute of Nursing Sciences

(1.strongly disagree, 2 .disagree, 3. neither agree nor disagree, 4. agree, 5. strongly agree)

Sr No	1	2	3	4	5	Particulars
1				15(60%)	10	15(60%) reported agree for Syllabus is need based & suitable to the course.
2				14(56%)	11	14(56%) reported agree for Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined
3			3	11(44%)	11	11(44%) reported agree for Course content is followed by corresponding update Reference materials
4			2	10	13(52%)	13(52%) reported strongly agree for Sufficient number prescribed books are available in the Library per subject
5				15(60%)	10	15(60%) reported agree for The course/syllabus has good balance between Theory and application.
6				11	14(56%)	14(56%) reported strongly agree for Tests and examination schedule is well planned And scheme of examination is suited for overall assessment
7		1		7	17(68%)	17(68%) reported strongly agree for Unbiased and fair evaluation method is Practiced in theory and practical Assessment.
8				6	18(72%)	18(72%) reported strongly agree for Good and in time remuneration facilitated.
9				5	20(80%)	20(80%) reported strongly agree for Ecofriendly and green campus with Comfortable staying facilities provided
10				4	21(84%)	21(84%) reported strogly agree for Teaching and administrative staffs are co-operative and practice good communication. .



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT PEER FEEDBACK 2017-18

Krishna College of Physiotherapy


### Analysis of Feedback forms on Curriculum by external examiner

I BPTb

(2017-2018)

SCORE	GRADE
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

Sr. NO.	NAME OF DEPARTMENT	MEAN	SD	REMARK
1	Fundamentals of exercise therapy	30.6	0.70	Excellent
2	Fundamentals of electro therapy	30.2	1.41	excellent



*Dr. G. Varadharajulu*  
Dr. G. VARADHARAJULU  
DEAN  
FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY  
KRISHNA, KARAD



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


### Analysis of Feedback forms on Curriculum by external examiner

II BPTb

(2017-2018)

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>NAME OF DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
1	Psychology	29.7	0.70	Excellent
2	Kinesiotherapeutics	30.1	1.41	Excellent
3	Electrical agents	29	2.82	Excellent



*Dr. G. Varadharajulu Ramponna*  
Dr. G. VARADHARAJULU RAMPONNA  
27-2-11  
FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY,  
KIMSOU, KARAD





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

### Analysis of Feedback forms on Curriculum by external examiner

III BPTb

(2017-2018)

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>NAME OF DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
1	PDMS	30.7	2.12	Very good



*Prashant*



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

### Analysis of Feedback forms on Curriculum by external examiner

IV BPTH

(2017-2018)

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>NAME OF DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
1	PT in musculoskeletal	30.7	1.52753	Excellent
2	PT in neurosciences	28.5	2.08167	Excellent
3	PT in medical and surgical	29.7	0.70711	Excellent
4	PT in community health	27.3	4.24264	Very good

*Crash*  
Dr. G. VARADHARAJULU, MPT, MAP  
100-11  
FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY,  
KIMSOU, KARAD



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

### Analysis of Feedback forms on Curriculum by external examiner

I MPT h

(2017-2018)

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>NAME OF DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
1	PT prac I	30.4	1.31	Excellent
2	PT prac II	30.2	1.31	Excellent
3	Adv PT I	30.3	1.31	Excellent
4	Adv PT II	30.5	1.31	Excellent
5	Research Biostatistics	30.2	1.31	Excellent

*Dr. G. Varadharajulu*  
Dr. G. VARADHARAJULU  
FACULTY OF POSTGRADUATE  
KIMSUGU, KARAD



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


### Analysis of Feedback forms on Curriculum by external examiner

#### II MPTth

(2017-2018)

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>NAME OF DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
1	Speciality	30.3	0.87	excellent



*G. Varadharajulu*  
Dr. G. VARADHARAJULU, MPTTH  
DEAN  
FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY,  
KIMSOU, KARAD



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)


## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

### PEER FEEDBACK 2017-18

#### Krishna Institute of Pharmacy


1. How do you rate the course curriculum in relation to the achievement of desired competencies required for the course?

5 responses



2. How do you rate the quality, content, relevance and execution of the syllabus included in the curriculum for the course by the institute?

5 responses






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT


3. How do you rate the sensitivity of students towards issues like gender equality (non-discrimination), environmental safety, ethics and values?

5 responses



4. How do you rate the institutional policy of curricular revision/ change according to the local and global need of the society?

5 responses






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


5. How do you rate the academic flexibility embedded in the curriculum which provides opportunities to students to pursue their interest, on evaluation of students?

5 responses



6. How do you rate the outcome (goal) oriented curricular design of the course (i.e. becoming competent and independent professionals) in professional and in real life situation?

5 responses






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


7. How do you rate the ambience of the college for effective delivery of the academic process?

5 responses



8. How do you rate the implementation of innovative teaching-learning methods (self-directive learning, problem based learning, narrative-reflective learning, integrated teaching, modular teaching etc)?

5 responses








# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


9. How do you rate the students' inclination towards participating / conducting, guest lectures, seminars, workshops, CMEs, conferences, quiz competitions etc. for better knowledge and skill acquisition?

5 responses



10. How do you rate the students' knowledge about soft skills, procedural skills, professionalism, bio-ethics and communication skills required for profession?

5 responses





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## **STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT PEER FEEDBACK 2017-18**

### **Any other suggestions:**

1. Research quality can be improved by the collaboration with various institutes.



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

# PARENT FEEDBACK


## 2017-18

Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences

(July 2018)

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-16	Poor
17-32	Good
33-48	Very Good
49-64	Excellent

<i>Class of Students</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Remark</i>
II/I	41.952	17.371	Very Good
III/I	45.242	19.966	Very Good
III/III	39.244	15.695	Very Good
Interns	39.402	16.174	Very Good





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)


## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT PARENT FEEDBACK 2017-18

Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences

(December 2018)

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-16	Poor
17-32	Good
33-48	Very Good
49-64	Excellent

<i>Class of Students</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Remark</i>
II/I	46.667	22.203	Very Good
III/I	41.584	16.596	Very Good
III/III	44.142	15.700	Very Good
Interns	39.445	15.956	Very Good





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## **STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT**

### **PARENT FEEDBACK 2017-18**

#### **Krishna Institute of Nursing Sciences**

Feedback was obtained from parents of the students on the aspects like infrastructure, programmes arranged by the department for achieving clinical exposure, encouragement to students for participation in various co-curricular activities, placement activities, effort of department taken for personality development and student mentoring. Results are as follows:

32 (46.37% parents of 1st year BSc Nursing, 66 (64.70%) parents of 2<sup>nd</sup> year BSc Nursing, 40 (41.23%) parents of 3<sup>rd</sup> year BSc Nursing, 4 (40%) parents of 1st year PBSc Nursing, 4 (66.66%) parents of 2<sup>nd</sup> year PBSc Nursing, 8 (88.88%) parents of MSc Nursing says Provision of Infrastructure facilities (classrooms, safe water, toilets cleanliness, overall safety) is good. 29 (51.78%) parents of 4<sup>th</sup> year BSc Nursing says Provision of Infrastructure facilities (classrooms, safe water, toilets cleanliness, overall safety) is very good.

31 (44.92% parents of 1st year BSc Nursing, 34 (33.33%) parents of 2<sup>nd</sup> year BSc Nursing, 31 (55.35%) parents of 4<sup>th</sup> year BSc Nursing, 7 (70%) parents of 1st year PBSc Nursing 5 (83.33%) parents of 2<sup>nd</sup> year PBSc Nursing says Learning facility (Provision of clinical areas, Field Experience & Visits, Availability of A.V Aids, Exposure to conferences, workshops) are very good.



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)


## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

### PARENT FEEDBACK 2017-18


Krishna Institute of Allied Sciences

Sample Size: 16

1) How is the institute policy for sensitizing students towards issues like gender equality (non-discrimination)?



2) How is the institute policy for sensitizing students towards issues like environmental safety, ethics and values?






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

3) How do you rate monitoring mechanism for teaching learning by the institute?



4) How do you rate the institute for the availability of clinical facilities and patients?






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

5) How do you rate the institute for conducting academic activities for better knowledge and skill acquisition (deep learning)?



6) How do you rate the institute for the availability and adequacy of classrooms, demonstration rooms, practical halls and clinical (patients) material?








# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

7) How are the basic requirements (hostel facilities, hygienic food and safe drinking water) provided by the institute?



8) How are the co-curricular and extra-curricular activities (sport / gymnasium) facilities provided by the institute?






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

9) How do you rate the institute efforts in context to getting career guidance / placement activities?



10) How do you rate the transparency in evaluation process of examination system?





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## **STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT PARENT FEEDBACK 2017-18**

### **Any other suggestions:**

1. Government and non-governmental scholarships should be informed



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT


# EMPLOYEE FEEDBACK

## 2017-18

### Krishna Institute of Pharmacy


1. How is the institute policy of sensitizing students towards issues like gender equality (non- discrimination)?

6 responses



2. How is the institute policy of sensitizing students towards issues like environmental safety, ethics & values?

6 responses






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


3. How do you rate the community service / projects with NGOs, participation in various awareness campaigns, exhibitions on socially relevant issues etc?

6 responses



4. How do you rate the involvement of institute in various national health programmes?

6 responses






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


5. How do you rate institute for organizing guest lectures, workshops and conferences?

6 responses



6. How do you rate institute for providing residence to employees?

6 responses






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT


7. How do you rate the facilities provided by the institute for acquiring soft skills?

6 responses



8. How do you rate overall working environment of the institute?

6 responses






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


9. How is the information communication technology (ICT), sports / gymnasium facilities provided by the institute?

6 responses



10. How do you rate the institute's efforts in context to getting jobs and placements for students?

6 responses



11. Any other Suggestions

1 response

No







# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT EMPLOYEE FEEDBACK 2017-2018

Krishna Institute of Allied Sciences

Sample size: 7






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT


3. How do you rate the community service / projects with NGOs, participation in various awareness campaigns, exhibitions on socially relevant issues etc?



4. How do you rate the involvement of institute in various national health programmes?



5. How do you rate institute for organizing guest lectures, workshops and conferences?






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

6. How do you rate institute for providing residence to employees?



7. How do you rate the facilities provided by the institute for acquiring soft skills?






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

8. How do you rate overall working environment of the institute?



9. How is the information communication technology (ICT), sports / gymnasium facilities provided by the institute?





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

10. How do you rate the institute’s efforts in context to getting jobs and placements for students?

